## Google, Facebook, Twitter, about to face felony charges and government resource termination

Google-Facebook-Twitter get billions in government subsidies, equipment, tax reductions, waivers, perks and stock market perks. They could not exist if they did not get payola. Since they rig political information, the U.S. Congress is telling them that they will get their government pig troughs cut off!

Major TV networks and Silicon Valley Cartel companies have been put on notice that their funding conduits schemes and scams have been tracked at a CIA-class level and that their cash funnels are about to be terminated.

TV networks and The Silicon Valley Cartel pretend to be Democrats but only while Democrats are in power. Their owners have zero interest in any political party and the public has realized it.

Their maniacal manipulations of message trends, mood perceptions and search results has become so obvious that even Joe Six Pack jokes about it.

Now, a shiny new faucet has been affixed to all of their state and federal cash largess and Congress is ready to turn that handle to ZERO!



<u>Rep. Kevin Cramer (R-ND)</u> warned network executives on Friday their anti-ROP propaganda must stop or the networks could face consequences.

Rep. Cramer sent the letter to:

Ben Sherwood, Disney Media Networks, Co-Chairman Stephen Burke, NBCUniversal President and CEO Leslie Moonves, CBS Chairman, President Dana Walden, FOX Television, Chairman and CEO

These broadcast networks enjoy the use of federally-allocated spectrum to increase their access to the American public. Rep. Cramer accused the networks of using this privilege to spread propaganda against Republican Donald Trump.

The media corruption and bias is so out of control in America today that a recent poll found just 6% of the public trust the news media in America.

Here is a copy of Rep. Kevin Cramer's letter:

## KEVIN CRAMER

**МОНТН ДАКОТА** 

WASHINGTON D.G. OFFICE: 1002 LONGWORTH BUILDRY WASHINGTON, DC 20515 202-225-2611

BISHARCK OFFICE Surre 328 701-224-0355



## CONGRESS OF THE UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES WASHINGTON, DC 20515

November 4, 2016

FARGO OFFICE: 3217 FEDITINE DRIVE, SUITE D FAROD, NORTH DAKOTA 56103

315 Mary Street South, Suite 203 MINOT, NORTH DAKOTA 58701

GRAND FORKS OFFICE CENTER FOR MINOVADON FOLINOWINGS BUILDING 4200 Juney Ray Deavy, Drevor 600 701-738-4880

Ben Sherwood Disney Media Networks, Co-Chairman Disney | ABC Television Group, President 500 South Buena Vista Street Burbank, California 91521

Leslie Moonves CBS Corporation, Chairman, President, & CEO CBS Headquarters 51 W. 52nd Street New York, NY 10019

Stephen B. Burke NBCUniversal, President & CEO Comcast Building 30 Rockefeller Plaza New York, NY 10112

Dana Walden FOX Television Stations Group, Chairman & CEO FOX Television Stations Group 1211 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036

Dear Mr. Sherwood, Mr. Burke, Mr. Moonves, and Ms. Walden:

As a member of the House Committee on Energy and Commerce with subcommittee assignments to Communications and Technology and Oversight and Investigations, I am writing to inform you that I intend to request a hearing to explore network media bias in coverage of the 2016 presidential campaign. A recent Gallup poll indicates that Americans' trust and confidence in the mass media - to report the news fully, accurately and fairly - has dropped to 32 percent, its lowest level in polling history. Last week, a poll released by the Associated Press also found that 56 percent of likely voters think the media is biased against Republican nominee Donald Trump while just 37 percent say the coverage of the race is mostly balanced. Furthermore, a Harvard University study this summer reported that Donald Trump coverage went from 57 percent positive and 43 percent negative during the early Republican primaries to 61 percent negative and 39 percent positive after Trump defeated his last Republican rivals. With the most recent RealClearPolitics poll average showing Hillary Clinton at 45.3 points to Donald Trump at 42.7 points, your broadcast news networks - who utilize federal spectrum to conduct their operations - have a moral obligation to provide balanced, unbiased news coverage for the American people.

To be clear, I do not support a return to the Fairness Doctrine. However, while the principle of an independent media is critical to our constitutional government, a news media free of political bias is required for a free system to flourish. Our national broadcast networks have a unique relationship with the American people, one that should not be taken lightly, and has been supported by our federal government from the very beginning. Still today, broadcast networks enjoy the use of federally-allocated spectrum to increase their access to the American people. For this reason, I am alarmed by recent polls and studies, which seem to confirm that our national network news has devolved from fact-based journalism to surreptitious propaganda.

As you are well aware, the privilege to broadcast a free signal is a privilege afforded to very few, and with it comes tremendous responsibility. The broadcast license awarded to you by the Federal Communications Commission is on behalf of the citizens. The spectrum your news is carried on is not yours alone but rather the property of a skeptical citizenry. Your FCC license and the liberty that comes with your First Amendment rights are not a license to broadcast anything you want or in any way you choose. Rather, this special freedom comes with basic moral and legal parameters.

Sincerely,

Kevin Cramer Member of Congress

CRAMER HOUSE GOV

Here is a transcript of the letter:

Dear Mr. Sherwood, Mr. Burke, Mr. Moonves, and Ms. Walden:

As a member of the House Committee on Energy and Commerce with subcommittee assignments to Communications and Technology and Oversight and Investigations, I am writing to inform you that I intend to request a hearing to explore network media bias in coverage of the 2016 presidential campaign. A recent Gallup poll indicates that Americans' trust and confidence in the mass media – to report the news fully, accurately and fairly- has dropped to 32 percent, its lowest level in polling history. Last week, a poll released by the Associated Press also found that 56 percent of likely voters think the media is biased against Republican nominee Donald Trump while just 3 7 percent say the coverage of the race is mostly balanced. Furthermore, a Harvard University study this summer reported that Donald Trump coverage went from 57 percent positive and 43 percent negative during the early Republican primaries to 61 percent negative and 39 percent positive after Trump defeated his last Republican rivals.

With the most recent RealClearPolitics poll average showing Hillary Clinton at 45.3 points to Donald Trump at 42. 7 points, your broadcast news networks – who utilize federal spectrum to conduct their operations – have a moral obligation to provide balanced, unbiased news coverage for the American people.

To be clear, I do not support a return to the Fairness Doctrine. However, while the principle of an independent media is critical to our constitutional government, a news media free of political bias is required for a free system to flourish. Our national broadcast networks have a unique relationship with the American people, one that should not be taken lightly, and has been supported by our federal government from the very beginning. Still today, broadcast networks enjoy the use of federally-allocated spectrum to increase their access to the American people. For this reason, I am alarmed by recent polls and studies, which seem to confirm that our national network news has devolved from fact-based journalism to surreptitious propaganda.

As you are well aware, the privilege to broadcast a free signal is a privilege afforded to very few, and with it comes tremendous responsibility. The broadcast license awarded to you by the Federal Communications Commission is on behalf of the citizens. The spectrum your news is carried on is not yours alone but rather the property of a skeptical citizenry. Your FCC license and the liberty that comes with your First Amendment rights are not a license to broadcast anything you want or in any way you choose. Rather, this special freedom comes with basic moral and legal parameters.

Sincerely, Kevin Cramer